

22 February 2022

Reference: 211056.01FA

Johnson Property Group 27 Patrick Drive, Cooranbong NSW 2265 Attention: Bryan Garland

#### TRAFFIC ADVICE ON PLANNING PROPOSAL OF PROPOSED INCREASED LOT YIELD AT WATAGAN PARK SUBDIVISION, COORANBONG

Dear Bryan,

Reference is made to your request to provide high-level traffic advice on the proposal to modify the scale of and form of dwellings in the Watagan Park Urban Release Area (URA). McLaren Traffic Engineering contributed to traffic and transport assessment for the URA most recently as part of the Planning Agreement (dated 12 March 2021, executed June 2021) with Lake Macquarie City Council. A summary of the proposed changes is provided in **Table 1** and is illustrated on a plan in **Annexure A**.

#### TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF CHANGES COMPARED TO PLANNING AGREEMENT

Scenario	Total Traffic Generation (trips)	Low Density Dwellings/Lots	Medium Density Dwellings	High Density Dwellings			
	АМ			1 or 2 Bed	3 Bed		
Scale Accommodated as part of PA	1,673	1,878	614	0	0		
Proposed Modification	1,927	1,995	198	476	318		
Change	+254 (+15%)	+117	-416	+476	+318		

An assessment of the proposed changes has been undertaken with reference to the traffic generation rates for low-density dwellings utilised as part of the traffic study underpinning the PA, with the proposed medium and high density dwellings assessed using the Peak Vehicle Trip (PVT) rates suggested by Lake Macquarie City Council. This assessment is detailed in **Section 1**.

In addition to the changes in form and scale of the dwellings, some modifications are proposed to the formation and operation of the roads within and surrounding the town centre. A discussion of the proposed design is provided in **Section 2**.



## 1 <u>Traffic Assessment</u>

### 1.1 Traffic Study for PA

The traffic study underpinning the most recently agreed PA included a total traffic generation for the subdivision of 1,673 PVT and included a total yield of 1,878 low-density lots with an external traffic generation of 0.71 PVT per lot and approximately 614 medium-density dwellings with an average PVT of 0.55 per lot (a weighted average based on 0.5 trips per 1 or 2 bedroom dwelling and 0.65 trips per 3+ bedroom dwelling).

SIDRA Intersection 8.0 was used to assess the impacts of the subdivision on key intersections along Freemans Drive between Alton Road and the new access point required under VPA item 17. The intersection of Deaves Road / Freemans Drive was assessed using SIDRA 9 at a later date using the same PVT assumptions. The results of these assessments are summarised in **Table 1**.

#### **1.2 Proposed Modifications**

The traffic generation of the proposed modifications have been based on both the rates relied upon for the PA negotiations for low-density dwellings (0.71 trips per dwelling) and the PVT rates suggested by Council for medium and high-density residential dwellings and drawing from their adopted Morisset Contributions Plan (0.45 trips per 1 or 2 bedroom dwelling and 0.575 trips per 3 or more bedroom dwelling). It is noted that the traffic generation rates assumed for the high-density dwellings are conservative and exceed those provided in recent research and typical industry standard generation rates.

Based on the above traffic generation rates, the proposed structure plan and changes to planning provisions to accommodate varied housing form and type, including small lot attached and detached product, townhouses and residential flat buildings across varied building heights will have a traffic generation approximately consistent with that shown in **Table 2.** The scale of yield has been advised by AJ+C as an outcome of the urban design review that has informed the planning proposal.

Land Use	Туре	Scale	Trip Generation Rate	Trips
Low Density Residential Dwellings	N/A	1,995	0.71 per dwelling	1,416
Medium Density Residential	1 or 2 Bedroom Dwelling	0	0.45 per dwelling	0
Dwennigs	3+ Bedroom Dwelling	198	0.575 per dwelling	114
High Density Residential Units	1 or 2 Bedroom Dwelling	476	0.45 per dwelling	214
	3+ Bedroom Dwelling	318	0.575 per dwelling	183
Total	-	-	-	1,927

#### TABLE 2: TRAFFIC GENERATION – PROPOSED MODIFICATION

The increased traffic volumes have been applied to the network in SIDRA Intersection 9.0 in order to assess the impacts of the additional PVTs on the external road network. The road formation and intersection treatments used in the modelling were consistent with those used for the PA study, though some minor line marking changes have been made to the Alton Road / Central Road / Freemans Drive intersection to optimise the capacity of this intersection. The intersection layouts modelled are provided in **Annexure B** for reference.



The results of this assessment are summarised in **Table 4**, with the SIDRA output reports provided in **Annexure C**.

Intersection	Peak Hour	Degree of Saturation <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Delay <sup>(2)</sup> (sec/vehicle)	Level of Service <sup>(3)</sup>	Control Type	Worst Movement	95th Percentile Queue
		•		APPROVE	D YIELD	•	
_	ΔM	0.86	13.1	Α		RT from Alton Road (NI)	20.2 veh (141.7m)
Freemans		0.00			Signals	INT HOM ANOT NOAU (IN)	Freeman Drive (W)
Road	PM	0.83	14.9	В	Olghais	RT from Alton Road (N)	28.8 veh (201.7m)
		0.00					Freeman Drive (W)
Central	АМ	0.89	18	В		RT from Central	52.8 veh (369.6m)
Road /					Signals		Freeman Drive (E)
Drive	PM	0.67	9	Α	0	RT from Central	16.5 veh (115.6m)
							Freeman Drive (E)
Freemans	AM	0.89	34.1	C		RT from Freeman Drive	33.7 veh (235.8m)
Drive /			Sig		Signals	(E)	Patrick Drive (N)
Patrick Drive	PM	0.67	7.5	A	- 5	RT from Patrick Drive	6.6 veh (46.2m)
						(N)	Freeman Drive (E)
		0.40	0.6	N/A		RT from Avondale	0.3 veh (2.1m)
Avondale S	АМ	0.40	(Worst: 23.3)	(Worst: B)	Give	South	Freemans Drive (W)
Drive			0.7	N/A	Way	RT from Avondale	0.5 veh (3.8m)
Drive	PM	0.31	(Worst: 23.7)	(Worst: B)		South	Freemans Drive (W)
			7.2	N/A		PT from Avandala	6.2 veh (43.7m)
Freemans	AM	0.82	(Worst: 36.1)	(Worst: C)	Give	North	Avondale North
Avondale N			4.8	N/A	Way	RT from Avondale	3 veh (21m)
	PM	0.59	(Worst: 25.5)	(Worst: B)		North	Avondale North
			3.9	N/A			1.3 veh (9m)
Newport Road/	AM	0.30	(Worst: 15.3)	(Worst: B)	Give	RT from Newport Road	Newport Road
Freemans			4.1	N/A	Way		1.2 veh (8.4m)
Drive	PM	0.29	(Worst: 12.5)	(Worst: A)		RT from Newport Road	Newport Road
			8.7	N/A		RT from New Access	10.5 veh (73.8m)
Freeman Drive / New	AM	0.76	(Worst: 18.8)	(Worst: B)	Give	(N)	New Access (N)
Access			4	N/A	Way	PT from Now Access	1.3 veh (8.9m)
(VPA 17)	PM	0.27	(Worst: 15.6)	(Worst: B)		(N)	Freeman Drive (E)
Deavos	A N A	0.00	20.6	В		PT from Dooylog Bood	30.3 veh (213.6m)
Road /		0.09			Cignola	KT HUIL DEAVES KUAU	Freemans Drive
Freemans		0.00	22.5	В	Signais	RT from Freemans	46.7 veh (328.9m)
Drive	mans ive PM	0.89				Drive	Freemans Drive

#### TABLE 3: INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE – PA SCALE

NOTES:

The Degree of Saturation is the ratio of demand to capacity for the most disadvantaged movement.
The average delay is the delay experienced on average by all vehicles. The value in brackets represents the delay to the most disadvantaged movement.

(3) The Level of Service is a qualitative measure of performance describing operational conditions. There are six levels of service, designated from A to F, with A representing the best operational condition and level of service F the worst. The LoS of the intersection is shown in bold, and the LoS of the most disadvantaged movement is shown in brackets. (4) No overall Level of Service is provided for Give Way and Stop controlled intersections as the low delays associated with the dominant movements skew the average delay of the intersection. The Level of Service of the worst approach is an indicator of the operation of the intersection, with a worse Level of Service corresponding to long delays and reduced safety outcomes for that approach.



#### TABLE 4: INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE – PROPOSED SCALE

Intersection	Peak Hour	Degree of Saturation <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Delay <sup>(2)</sup> (sec/vehicle)	Level of Service <sup>(3)</sup>	Control Type	Worst Movement	95th Percentile Queue
			PROPOS	SED YIELD			
Freemans Drive /	AM	0.98	23.3	В		RT from Alton Road (N)	14.6 veh (102m) Alton Road (N)
Alton Road	PM	0.78	15.8	В	Signals	LT from Alton Road (N)	22.7 veh (158.6m) Freeman Drive (W)
Central Road /	AM	0.86	23	В		RT from Central	36.3 veh (253.9m) Freeman Drive (E)
Freemans Drive	PM	0.73	9.6	A	Signals	LT from Central	8.2 veh (57.4m) Freeman Drive (E)
Freemans Drive /	AM	0.91	36	С	<u>.</u>	RT from Patrick Drive (N)	37.8 veh (264.9m) Freeman Drive (E)
Patrick Drive	PM	0.73	12.9	A	Signais	RT from Freeman Drive (E)	8.2 veh (57.6m) Freeman Drive (E)
Avondale S /	AM	0.43	0.7 (Worst: 25.3)	N/A (Worst: B)	Give	RT from Avondale South	0.3 veh (2.3m) Freemans Drive (W)
Freemans Drive	PM	0.33	0.7 (Worst: 26.1)	N/A (Worst: B)	Way	RT from Avondale South	0.6 veh (4.2m) Freemans Drive (W)
Freemans Drive /	AM	0.92	10.1 (Worst: 55.6)	<b>N/A</b> (Worst: D)	Give	RT from Avondale North	9.7 veh (67.7m) Avondale North
Avondale N	PM	0.60	4.8 (Worst: 25.8)	N/A (Worst: B)	Way	RT from Avondale North	3.1 veh (21.7m) Avondale North
Newport /	AM	0.32	4.1 (Worst: 16.8)	N/A (Worst: B)	Give	RT from Newport Road	1.4 veh (10m) Freemans Drive (W)
Freemans Drive	PM	0.30	4.2 (Worst: 13)	<b>N/A</b> (Worst: A)	Way	RT from Newport Road	1.3 veh (8.9m) Newport Road
Freemans Drive /	AM	0.90	14.3 (Worst: 29.8)	N/A (Worst: C)	Give	RT from New Access (N)	20.3 veh (141.8m) New Access (N)
New Access (VPA 17)	PM	0.33	4.5 (Worst: 19.1)	N/A (Worst: B)	Way	RT from New Access (N)	1.6 veh (11m) Freeman Drive (E)
Deaves Road	AM	0.96	29	C (Worst: F)	Signals	RT from Freemans Drive	38.2 veh (268.9m) Freemans Drive
/Freemans Drive	РМ	0.90	26.8	B (Worst: F)	Signals	RT from Freemans Drive	64.6 veh (454.7m) Freemans Drive

NOTES:

The Degree of Saturation is the ratio of demand to capacity for the most disadvantaged movement.
The average delay is the delay experienced on average by all vehicles. The value in brackets represents the delay to the most disadvantaged movement.

(3) The Level of Service is a qualitative measure of performance describing operational conditions. There are six levels of service, designated from A to F, with A representing the best operational condition and level of service F the worst. The LoS of the intersection is LoS of the most disadvantaged movement shown in bold. and the is shown in brackets. (4) No overall Level of Service is provided for Give Way and Stop controlled intersections as the low delays associated with the dominant movements skew the average delay of the intersection. The Level of Service of the worst approach is an indicator of the operation of the intersection, with a worse Level of Service corresponding to long delays and reduced safety outcomes for that approach.

The results of the modelling indicate that the intersection works committed to under the PA and by Council will maintain an acceptable level of service under the proposed scale of development.

It is also noted that the midblock capacity of Freemans Drive will not be exceeded by the proposed additional yield, though this must be a consideration for any further significant development relying on the Freemans Drive road corridor.



## 2 Internal Road Network

### 2.1 Traffic Management in Town Centre Precinct

The proposed modifications to the town centre precinct include several roads along which high traffic volumes would be undesirable. The proposed road network layout in the town centre precinct is depicted in **Figure 1**, and includes the following features designed to redirect traffic flows to and from the external road network around the precinct:

- The roadway (MC11) in between the mixed-use centre and the park is proposed to be oneway (southbound) and constructed as a shared zone in accordance with the TfNSW Technical Direction 2016/001 (TDT 2016/001) and will include the following features:
  - Pavement texture to differentiate it from the surrounding roads;
  - Pavement at the same level as the footpaths, with no kerbs;
  - Traffic calming features to reduce vehicle speeds;
  - Line marked car parking bays;
  - Appropriate signage.
- Threshold treatments are proposed at two locations along MC06 as follows:
  - At the intersection of Cessna Avenue and MC06 to limit traffic flows along MC06 to only traffic travelling to and from the mixed-use centre or the public open spaces.
  - At the extension point of the continuous east / west pedestrian link through the retail centre to provide for a safe crossing location. This threshold will also serve as additional traffic calming and reduce the attractiveness of the route for "rat-running".

These modifications are designed to reduce the incidence of through traffic using the roads in the town centre and to create a safer environment for pedestrians and cyclists.

#### 2.2 Capacity of Collector Roads

The changes discussed in **Section 2.1** will result in a higher concentration of trips on the road along the northern (Cessna Avenue) and western (Grazier Road) perimeters of the town centre precinct. Each of these roads has been designed with sufficient carriageway width to provide the capacity for the additional traffic volumes.





FIGURE 1: TOWN CENTRE PRECINCT



## 3 Conclusions

The proposed changes to the dwelling yield and composition of the Watagan Park Urban Release Area and its medium density and town centre zoned lands have been considered with regard to the likely impact that the changes might have on the internal and external road network when compared to the yield most recently executed under the PA.

The proposed changes result in a higher total number of dwellings with an increased proportion of medium and high-density forms, which generate less peak hour trips on average than low-density dwellings. As a result, the traffic generation of the subdivision is expected to be 15% greater than that assessed for the recently executed PA.

Modelling of the intersections connecting the urban release area to the external traffic network indicate that the presently planned intersections under the PA and by Council will have sufficient capacity to serve the proposed additional trips.

Changes are proposed to the internal road network within the town centre to provide for a pedestrian and cyclist friendly environment surrounding the town centre and public open spaces. Some trips which previously would have used the roads through the town centre will be added to the roads on the perimeter of the town centre, however these roads will have sufficient midblock capacity to cater to the proposed additional trips.

Please contact the undersigned on 9521 7199 should you require further information or assistance.

Yours faithfully, M°Laren Traffic Engineering

lal

Tom Steal Senior Traffic Engineer BE Civil AMAITPM MIEAust RMS Accredited Level 2 Road Safety Auditor



ANNEXURE A: CONCEPT TOWN CENTRE PLANS (1 SHEET)

# WATAGAN PARK REVISED LAYOUT 18/02/2022









ALLEN JACK+COTTIER



ANNEXURE B: INTERSECTION LAYOUTS MODELLED (2 SHEETS)

## SITE LAYOUT

Site: 101v [AM Freemans/Deaves - 2500 Lots (14.75 Years Growth) - Import (2) (Site Folder: Deaves - 2% HV - Council Design)]

Freemans Drive/Deaves Road Growth Test - 25 Lots/Year Site Category: (None) Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated

Layout pictures are schematic functional drawings reflecting input data. They are not design drawings.



## **NETWORK LAYOUT**

■ Network: N101 [AM Peak (Network Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Alto / Central AM Peak Period Existing + Development Network Category: (None)

Layout pictures are schematic functional drawings reflecting input data. They are not design drawings.



SITES IN NETWORK									
Site ID	CCG ID	Site Name							
101	CCG1	Alton / Freeman Existing + Development AM - Copy							
101	CCG1	Central / Freeman Existing + Development AM - Copy							
101	NA	Patrick Drive / Freeman Drive Existing + Development AM - Copy							
<b>∨</b> 101	NA	Avondale South / Freeman Drive Existing + Development AM - Copy							
<b>∨</b> 101	NA	Avondale North Existing + Development AM - Copy							
<b>∨</b> 101	NA	Newport Road / Freemans Drive AM - Copy							
<b>▽</b> 101v	NA	Freemans Drive/New Access (VPA 17) AM - Copy							

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: MCLAREN TRAFFIC ENGINEERING | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Created: Friday, 18 February 2022 3:36:52 PM Project: \\192.168.1.107\mte storage\Jobs\2021\211056\MTE SIDRA\22 02 03 PM12.23 - Revis in Sidra 9\22 02 18 Final Yield no Filters + Deaves.sip9



ANNEXURE C: SIDRA RESULT REPORTS (16 SHEETS)

## CCG MOVEMENT SUMMARY

#### □□ Common Control Group: CCG1 [CCGName]

#### ■ Network: N101 [AM Peak (Network Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Coordinated Cycle Time = 85 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehicle Movement Performance (CCG)														
Mov ID	Turn [	DEMAND [ Total veh/h	FLOWS HV ] %	ARRI FLO Total [ veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% BA QUE [ Veh. veh	CK OF UE Dist ] m	Prop. Que	EffectiveA Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
Site:	101 [Ali	ton / Freer	nan Exi	isting +	Deve	elopment Al	M - Copy	]						
East:	Freema	an Drive (I	E)											
5	T1	1230	0.0	1230	0.0	*0.977	22.1	LOS B	4.7	32.6	0.58	0.69	0.79	37.9
6	R2	79	0.0	79	0.0	0.977	32.5	LOS C	4.7	32.6	0.89	1.09	1.24	30.1
Appro	bach	1308	0.0	1308	0.0	0.977	22.7	LOS B	4.7	32.6	0.59	0.71	0.82	37.3
North	: Alton	Road (N)												
7	L2	96	0.0	96	0.0	0.381	34.1	LOS C	3.4	23.8	0.86	0.78	0.86	28.6
9	R2	359	0.0	359	0.0	0.785	38.9	LOS C	14.6	102.0	0.95	0.89	1.08	35.9
Appro	bach	455	0.0	455	0.0	0.785	37.9	LOS C	14.6	102.0	0.93	0.87	1.04	34.8
West:	Freem	an Drive (	(W)											
10	L2	125	0.0	125	0.0	0.571	19.0	LOS B	10.0	69.7	0.70	0.68	0.70	46.9
11	T1	548	0.0	548	0.0	0.571	13.6	LOS A	10.0	69.7	0.70	0.65	0.70	40.9
Appro	bach	673	0.0	673	0.0	0.571	14.6	LOS B	10.0	69.7	0.70	0.65	0.70	42.6
All Ve	hicles	2436	0.0	2436	0.0	0.977	23.3	LOS B	14.6	102.0	0.68	0.73	0.83	37.8
Site:	101 [Ce	entral / Fre	eman E	Existing	+ De	evelopment	AM - Co	py]						
South	: Centr	al												
1	L2	47	0.0	47	0.0	0.083	28.1	LOS B	1.4	9.8	0.74	0.71	0.74	31.4
3	R2	36	0.0	36	0.0	*0.149	42.1	LOS C	1.4	9.5	0.92	0.72	0.92	25.4
Appro	bach	83	0.0	83	0.0	0.149	34.1	LOS C	1.4	9.8	0.82	0.72	0.82	28.5
East:	Freema	an Drive (I	E)											
4	L2	99	0.0	99	0.0	0.859	29.3	LOS C	35.9	251.5	0.91	0.92	1.02	41.3
5	T1	1258	0.0	1258	0.0	0.859	26.9	LOS B	36.3	253.9	0.91	0.95	1.08	30.3
Appro	bach	1357	0.0	1357	0.0	0.859	27.1	LOS B	36.3	253.9	0.91	0.95	1.07	31.4
West	Freem	an Drive (	(W)											
11	T1	543	0.0	543	0.0	0.836	9.0	LOS A	4.7	32.6	0.45	0.41	0.49	10.9
12	R2	145	0.0	145	0.0	*0.836	30.5	LOS C	4.7	32.6	1.00	0.93	1.19	30.4
Appro	bach	689	0.0	689	0.0	0.836	13.6	LOS A	4.7	32.6	0.57	0.52	0.63	22.7
All Ve	hicles	2129	0.0	2129	0.0	0.859	23.0	LOS B	36.3	253.9	0.80	0.80	0.92	29.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

\* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Pedestrian Mov	Pedestrian Movement Performance (CCG)												
Mov	Dem.	Aver.	Level of	AVERAGE BACK OF	Prop. E <sup>.</sup>	ffective	Travel	Travel	Aver.				
ID Crossing	Flow	Delay	Service	QUEUE	Que	Stop	Time	Dist.	Speed				

				[Ped	Dist ]		Rate			,
	ped/h	sec		ped	m			sec	m	m/sec
Site: 101 [Alton	/ Freeman	Existing	g + Develo	pment AM - (	Copy]					
East: Freeman	Drive (E)									
P2 Full	80	36.8	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.93	0.93	65.4	37.2	0.57
North: Alton Ro	ad (N)									
P3 Full	80	12.0	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.53	0.53	36.5	31.9	0.87
West: Freeman	Drive (W)									
P4 Full	80	36.8	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.93	0.93	65.4	37.2	0.57
All Pedestrians	240	28.5	LOS C	0.2	0.2	0.80	0.80	55.8	35.4	0.64
Site: 101 [Cent	ral / Freema	an Exist	ng + Deve	lopment AM	- Copy]					
South: Central										
P1 Full	80	12.0	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.53	0.53	36.5	31.9	0.87
East: Freeman	Drive (E)									
P2 Full	80	36.8	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.93	0.93	65.4	37.2	0.57
All Pedestrians	160	24.4	LOS C	0.2	0.2	0.73	0.73	51.0	34.6	0.68

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: MCLAREN TRAFFIC ENGINEERING | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Processed: Friday, 18 February 2022 11:08:59 AM Project: \\192.168.1.107\mte storage\Jobs\2021\211056\MTE SIDRA\22 02 03 PM12.23 - Revis in Sidra 9\22 02 18 Final Yield no Filters + Deaves.sip9

#### Site: 101 [Patrick Drive / Freeman Drive Existing + Development AM - Copy (Site Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Freeman Drive / Patrick Drive AM Peak Period 100% Development Scale + Existing Site Category: (None) Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Coordinated Cycle Time = 85 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time -Minimum Delay) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehicle Movement Performance														
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLO\ [ Total veh/h	AND WS HV] %	ARRI FLO [ Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% B QU [ Veh. veh	ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	EffectiveA Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Freem	an Drive	(E)											
5 6	T1 R2	822 27	0.0	822 27	0.0 0.0	* 0.892 0.206	32.8 48.3		37.8 1 1	264.9 7 9	0.97 0.97	1.04 0.71	1.17 0.97	30.4 33.5
Appro	bach	849	0.0	849	0.0	0.892	33.3	LOS C	37.8	264.9	0.97	1.03	1.16	30.6
North	North: Patrick Drive (N)													
7	L2 P2	42 568	0.0	42 568	0.0	0.044	16.2	LOS B	0.8 28 0	5.9 202.6	0.52	0.67	0.52	39.3 22.8
Appro	bach	610	0.0	610	0.0	0.911	48.0	LOS D	28.9	202.6	0.97	0.99	1.28	23.5
West	Freem	nan Drive	(W)											
10 11	L2 T1	118 427	0.0	118 427	0.0 0.0	0.207 0.682	29.2 25.8	LOS C	3.8 15.6	26.9 109.3	0.82 0.93	0.76 0.81	0.82 0.93	39.1 31 1
Appro	bach	544	0.0	544	0.0	0.682	26.6	LOS B	15.6	109.3	0.91	0.80	0.91	33.6
All Ve	hicles	2003	0.0	2003	0.0	0.911	36.0	LOS C	37.8	264.9	0.95	0.95	1.13	28.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

\* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Pede	Pedestrian Movement Performance													
Mov	Mov D Crossing		Aver.	Level of	AVERAGE	BACK OF	Prop. Et	fective	Travel	Travel	Aver.			
שר	Groconig	FIOW	Delay	Service	QUEUE [Ped Dist]		Que	Rate	nme	Dist.	Speed			
		ped/h	sec		ped	m			sec	m	m/sec			
East:	: Freeman Dri	ve (E)												
P2	Full	80	22.7	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.73	0.73	48.8	33.9	0.70			
North	n: Patrick Driv	e (N)												
P3	Full	80	24.9	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.77	0.77	51.0	33.9	0.66			
West	t: Freeman Dr	ive (W)												
P4	Full	80	22.7	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.73	0.73	48.8	33.9	0.70			
All P	edestrians	240	23.4	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.74	0.74	49.5	33.9	0.68			

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

#### V Site: 101 [Avondale South / Freeman Drive Existing + Development AM - Copy (Site Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Avondale South / Freeman Drive AM Peak Period Existing + Growth + Development Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehic	Vehicle Movement Performance													
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [ Total	ND VS HV ]	ARRI FLO [ Total	VAL WS HV ]	Deg. Satn	Aver. Delay	Level of Service	95% E Ql [ Veh.	BACK OF JEUE Dist ]	Prop. Que	EffectiveA Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South: Avondale South														
1	L2	28	0.0	28	0.0	0.045	9.9	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.62	0.81	0.62	45.5
3	R2	7	0.0	7	0.0	0.043	25.3	LOS B	0.1	0.9	0.86	0.94	0.86	32.8
Appro	ach	36	0.0	36	0.0	0.045	13.1	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.67	0.84	0.67	42.2
East: Freemans Drive (E)														
4	L2	13	0.0	13	0.0	0.425	2.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	56.7
5	T1	816	0.0	816	0.0	0.425	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	58.5
Appro	ach	829	0.0	829	0.0	0.425	0.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	58.1
West:	Freem	ans Drive	e (W)											
11	T1	499	0.0	499	0.0	0.235	0.5	LOS A	0.3	2.3	0.08	0.02	0.09	58.6
12	R2	17	0.0	17	0.0	0.235	11.6	LOS A	0.3	2.3	0.10	0.03	0.11	57.3
Appro	ach	516	0.0	516	0.0	0.235	0.8	NA	0.3	2.3	0.08	0.02	0.09	58.5
All Ve	hicles	1381	0.0	1381	0.0	0.425	0.7	NA	0.3	2.3	0.05	0.03	0.05	57.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: 101 [Avondale North Existing + Development AM - Copy (Site Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Avondale North / Freeman Drive AM Peak Period Existing + Development + 15 Year Growth Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehicle Movement Performance														
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [ Total	ND VS HV]	ARRI FLO [ Total	VAL WS HV ]	Deg. Satn	Aver. Delay	Level of Service	95%   Q [ Veh.	BACK OF UEUE Dist ]	Prop. Que	EffectiveA Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	Freema	an (East)												
5	T1	547	0.0	547	0.0	0.283	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.8
6	R2	164	0.0	164	0.0	0.150	5.6	LOS A	0.7	5.0	0.54	0.69	0.54	48.8
Appro	ach	711	0.0	711	0.0	0.283	1.3	NA	0.7	5.0	0.12	0.16	0.12	51.1
North	Avonc	lale North	า											
7	L2	250	0.0	250	0.0	0.193	6.6	LOS A	0.9	6.4	0.38	0.61	0.38	48.8
9	R2	258	0.0	258	0.0	0.922	55.6	LOS D	9.7	67.7	0.98	1.69	3.61	21.3
Appro	ach	509	0.0	509	0.0	0.922	31.5	LOS C	9.7	67.7	0.68	1.16	2.02	29.5
West:	Freem	an (West	:)											
10	L2	243	0.0	243	0.0	0.273	2.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.25	0.00	54.8
11	T1	277	0.0	277	0.0	0.273	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.25	0.00	37.3
Appro	ach	519	0.0	519	0.0	0.273	1.3	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.25	0.00	53.1
All Ve	hicles	1739	0.0	1739	0.0	0.922	10.1	NA	9.7	67.7	0.25	0.48	0.64	37.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

## V Site: 101 [Newport Road / Freemans Drive AM - Copy (Site Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Newport Road / Freemans Drive AM Peak Period 15 Year Growth AM Peak Period Existing + 15 Year Growth + Development Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehio	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [ Total veh/h	ND VS HV] %	ARRI FLO [ Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% E Ql [ Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	: Newp	ort Road												
1	L2	273	0.0	273	0.0	0.316	8.2	LOS A	1.4	9.9	0.51	0.77	0.56	47.4
3	R2	43	0.0	43	0.0	0.144	16.8	LOS B	0.5	3.5	0.76	0.90	0.76	38.8
Appro	bach	316	0.0	316	0.0	0.316	9.4	LOS A	1.4	9.9	0.54	0.79	0.59	46.0
East:	Freema	ans Drive	(E)											
4	L2	96	0.0	96	0.0	0.052	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	54.4
5	T1	414	0.0	414	0.0	0.212	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
Appro	bach	510	0.0	510	0.0	0.212	1.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.11	0.00	58.2
West:	Freem	ans Drive	e (W)											
11	T1	196	0.0	196	0.0	0.101	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.0
12	R2	252	0.0	252	0.0	0.302	6.7	LOS A	1.4	10.0	0.57	0.80	0.63	47.5
Appro	bach	448	0.0	448	0.0	0.302	3.8	NA	1.4	10.0	0.32	0.45	0.35	48.2
All Ve	hicles	1274	0.0	1274	0.0	0.316	4.1	NA	1.4	10.0	0.25	0.40	0.27	52.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

## V Site: 101v [Freemans Drive/New Access (VPA 17) AM - Copy (Site Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Freeman Drive / Patrick Drive AM Peak Period 100% Development Scale + Existing Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehio	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [ Total	AND WS HV ]	ARRI FLO [ Total	VAL WS HV ]	Deg. Satn	Aver. Delay	Level of Service	95%   Q [ Veh.	BACK OF UEUE Dist ]	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	Freema	an Drive (	(E)											
5	T1	209	0.0	209	0.0	0.108	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.0
6	R2	88	0.0	88	0.0	0.079	6.6	LOS A	0.3	2.2	0.36	0.62	0.36	52.2
Appro	bach	297	0.0	297	0.0	0.108	2.0	NA	0.3	2.2	0.11	0.18	0.11	56.1
North	: New A	Access (N	1)											
7	L2	340	0.0	340	0.0	0.902	21.3	LOS B	20.3	141.8	0.81	1.54	2.73	41.5
9	R2	311	0.0	311	0.0	0.902	29.8	LOS C	20.3	141.8	0.81	1.54	2.73	32.9
Appro	bach	651	0.0	651	0.0	0.902	25.4	LOS B	20.3	141.8	0.81	1.54	2.73	38.3
West:	Freem	an Drive	(W)											
10	L2	26	0.0	26	0.0	0.014	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	54.4
11	T1	236	0.0	236	0.0	0.121	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
Appro	bach	262	0.0	262	0.0	0.121	0.6	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.06	0.00	59.3
All Ve	hicles	1209	0.0	1209	0.0	0.902	14.3	NA	20.3	141.8	0.46	0.89	1.50	46.1

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

#### Site: 101v [AM Freemans/Deaves - 2500 Lots (14.75 Years Growth) - Import (2) (Site Folder: Deaves - 2% HV - Council Design)]

Freemans Drive/Deaves Road Growth Test - 25 Lots/Year Site Category: (None) Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Site Practical Cycle Time) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfor	mance										
Mov	Turn	INF	DT	DEM	AND	Deg.	Aver.	Level of	95% BA	ACK OF	Prop.	Effective	Aver.	Aver.
ID			JMES	FLO	WS	Satn	Delay	Service	QUE	EUE	Que	Stop	No.	Speed
		l Iotai veh/h	HV J veh/h	l Iotai veh/h	HVJ %	v/c	sec		ι ven. veh	DIST J m		Rate	Cycles	km/h
South	n: Free	emans Dr	ive (S)	Volim	,,,	110	000		Ven					111/11
1	L2	18	0	19	0.0	0.024	28.4	LOS B	0.7	4.8	0.61	0.67	0.61	40.1
2	T1	477	6	527	1.2	0.652	31.6	LOS C	26.2	185.2	0.85	0.76	0.85	39.5
Appro	bach	495	6	546	1.2	0.652	31.5	LOS C	26.2	185.2	0.84	0.76	0.84	39.5
North	: Free	mans Dri	ive (N)											
8	T1	997	7	1149	0.6	*0.895	11.8	LOS A	38.2	268.9	0.49	0.50	0.54	50.2
9	R2	268	0	315	0.0	0.962	86.1	LOS F	24.0	168.3	0.84	1.01	1.36	24.5
Appro	bach	1265	7	1464	0.5	0.962	27.8	LOS B	38.2	268.9	0.57	0.61	0.71	41.0
West	: Deav	es Road	(W)											
10	L2	120	0	135	0.0	0.150	25.2	LOS B	4.7	32.6	0.59	0.72	0.59	41.6
12	R2	25	0	26	0.0	*0.154	65.5	LOS E	1.6	11.0	0.95	0.72	0.95	28.4
Appro	bach	145	0	161	0.0	0.154	31.8	LOS C	4.7	32.6	0.65	0.72	0.65	38.7
All Vehic	les	1905	13	2171	0.6	0.962	29.0	LOS C	38.2	268.9	0.64	0.65	0.74	40.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

\* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Pedestrian M	loveme	nt Perf	ormano	:e							
Mov D Crossing	Input	Dem.	Aver.	Level of A	VERAGE		Prop. Ef	fective	Travel	Travel	Aver.
ID crossing	VOI.	FIOW	Delay	Service	[Ped	Dist ]	Que	Rate	nne	DISL.	Speed
	ped/h	ped/h	sec		ped	m			sec	m	m/sec
South: Freema	ans Drive	e (S)									
P1 Full	50	80	59.3	LOS E	0.3	0.3	0.96	0.96	83.9	31.9	0.38
North: Freema	ins Drive	(N)									
P3 Full	50	80	59.3	LOS E	0.3	0.3	0.96	0.96	83.9	31.9	0.38
West: Deaves	Road (W	/)									
P4 Full	50	80	59.3	LOS E	0.3	0.3	0.96	0.96	83.9	31.9	0.38
All Pedestrians	0	240	59.3	LOS E	0.3	0.3	0.96	0.96	83.9	31.9	0.38

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

#### Site: 101v [PM Freemans/Deaves - 2500 Lots (14.75 Years Growth) - Import (2) (Site Folder: Deaves - 2% HV - Council Design)]

Freemans Drive/Deaves Road Growth Test - 25 Lots/Year Site Category: (None) Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Site Practical Cycle Time) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfor	mance										
Mov	Turn	INF	PUT	DEM	AND	Deg.	Aver.	Level of	95% BA	ACK OF	Prop.	Effective	Aver.	Aver.
ID		VOLL	JMES	FLO	WS	Satn	Delay	Service	QUI	EUE	Que	Stop	No.	Speed
		[ lotal	HV J	[ lotal	HV J	24/2			[ Veh.	Dist J		Rate	Cycles	lum/b
Sout	h: Free	emans Dr	ive (S)	ven/n	70	V/C	sec	_	ven	111	_		_	KIII/II
1	L2	13	0	14	0.0	0.011	12.3	LOS A	0.3	1.9	0.33	0.62	0.33	48.8
2	T1	1030	7	1184	0.6	* 0.898	22.5	LOS B	64.6	454.7	0.88	0.86	0.92	43.8
Appr	oach	1043	7	1198	0.6	0.898	22.4	LOS B	64.6	454.7	0.88	0.86	0.92	43.9
North	n: Free	mans Dri	ive (N)											
8	T1	576	8	631	1.3	0.401	3.4	LOS A	10.7	75.7	0.30	0.27	0.30	56.8
9	R2	116	0	130	0.0	*0.830	76.5	LOS F	9.0	63.0	1.00	0.90	1.28	26.2
Appr	oach	692	8	762	1.1	0.830	16.0	LOS B	10.7	75.7	0.42	0.38	0.46	47.3
West	: Deav	es Road	(W)											
10	L2	289	0	337	0.0	0.847	64.7	LOS E	22.3	156.4	1.00	0.92	1.17	28.7
12	R2	19	0	20	0.0	*0.117	65.2	LOS E	1.2	8.3	0.95	0.70	0.95	28.5
Appr	oach	308	0	357	0.0	0.847	64.8	LOS E	22.3	156.4	1.00	0.91	1.15	28.7
All Vehic	cles	2043	15	2316	0.7	0.898	26.8	LOS B	64.6	454.7	0.74	0.71	0.80	41.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

\* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Pedestrian M	loveme	nt Perf	ormano	e							
Mov D Crossing	Input	Dem.	Aver.	Level of A	VERAGE	BACK OF	Prop. Ef	fective	Travel	Travel	Aver.
ID crossing	VOI.	FIOW	Delay	Service	[Ped	Dist ]	Que	Rate	Time	Dist.	Speed
	ped/h	ped/h	sec		ped	m			sec	m	m/sec
South: Freema	ans Drive	e (S)									
P1 Full	50	80	59.3	LOS E	0.3	0.3	0.96	0.96	83.9	31.9	0.38
North: Freema	ins Drive	(N)									
P3 Full	50	80	59.3	LOS E	0.3	0.3	0.96	0.96	83.9	31.9	0.38
West: Deaves	Road (W	/)									
P4 Full	50	80	59.3	LOS E	0.3	0.3	0.96	0.96	83.9	31.9	0.38
All Pedestrians	0	240	59.3	LOS E	0.3	0.3	0.96	0.96	83.9	31.9	0.38

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

## CCG MOVEMENT SUMMARY

#### □□ Common Control Group: CCG1 [CCGName]

#### ■ Network: N101 [PM Peak (Network Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Coordinated Cycle Time = 75 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfor	nance	e (CC	G)								
Mov ID	Turn [	DEMAND [ Total	FLOWS	ARRI FLO Total (	VAL WS HV]	Deg. Satn	Aver. Delay	Level of Service	95% BA QUE [ Veh. veh	CK OF UE Dist ] m	Prop. Que	EffectiveA Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
Site:	101 [Ali	ton / Free	man Exi	isting +	Deve	elopment P	M - Copy	1	VOIT					N11/11
East:	Freema	an Drive (	E)											
5	T1	593	0.0	593	0.0	0.713	10.5	LOS A	4.7	32.6	0.48	0.44	0.51	45.4
6	R2	87	0.0	87	0.0	0.713	27.8	LOS B	4.7	32.6	0.92	0.85	1.00	32.1
Appro	bach	680	0.0	680	0.0	0.713	12.7	LOS A	4.7	32.6	0.54	0.49	0.57	43.1
North	: Alton	Road (N)												
7	L2	83	0.0	83	0.0	0.428	39.9	LOS C	3.0	20.9	0.96	0.77	0.96	26.2
9	R2	110	0.0	110	0.0	0.403	38.2	LOS C	3.8	26.7	0.95	0.78	0.95	36.1
Appro	bach	193	0.0	193	0.0	0.428	38.9	LOS C	3.8	26.7	0.95	0.77	0.95	32.7
West:	: Freem	an Drive	(W)											
10	L2	319	0.0	319	0.0	*0.779	17.0	LOS B	22.7	158.6	0.77	0.78	0.80	47.9
11	T1	1016	0.0	1016	0.0	0.779	13.1	LOS A	22.7	158.6	0.76	0.77	0.83	41.1
Appro	bach	1334	0.0	1334	0.0	0.779	14.1	LOS A	22.7	158.6	0.76	0.77	0.82	43.5
All Ve	hicles	2207	0.0	2207	0.0	0.779	15.8	LOS B	22.7	158.6	0.71	0.68	0.75	41.8
Site: 7	101 [Ce	entral / Fre	eeman E	Existing	+ De	evelopment	PM - Co	oy]						
South	n: Centr	al												
1	L2	108	0.0	108	0.0	*0.658	41.0	LOS C	4.2	29.2	0.98	0.86	1.13	24.3
3	R2	78	0.0	78	0.0	0.315	38.7	LOS C	2.7	18.9	0.94	0.76	0.94	25.1
Appro	bach	186	0.0	186	0.0	0.658	40.0	LOS C	4.2	29.2	0.97	0.82	1.05	24.6
East:	Freema	an Drive (	E)											
4	L2	28	0.0	28	0.0	0.527	13.9	LOS A	8.2	57.4	0.65	0.60	0.65	50.4
5	T1	676	0.0	676	0.0	0.527	8.3	LOS A	8.2	57.4	0.65	0.59	0.65	45.9
Appro	bach	704	0.0	704	0.0	0.527	8.6	LOS A	8.2	57.4	0.65	0.59	0.65	46.2
West:	: Freem	an Drive	(W)											
11	T1	1059	0.0	1059	0.0	0.730	5.1	LOS A	4.7	32.6	0.35	0.33	0.35	19.1
12	R2	69	0.0	69	0.0	0.730	7.0	LOS A	4.7	32.6	0.38	0.38	0.38	49.3
Appro	bach	1128	0.0	1128	0.0	0.730	5.2	LOS A	4.7	32.6	0.35	0.33	0.35	27.3
All Ve	hicles	2019	0.0	2019	0.0	0.730	9.6	LOS A	8.2	57.4	0.51	0.47	0.52	37.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

\* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Pedestrian Mov	vement	Perforr	nance (C	CG)					
Mov	Dem.	Aver.	Level of	AVERAGE BACK OF	Prop. E <sup>.</sup>	ffective	Travel	Travel	Aver.
ID Crossing	Flow	Delay	Service	QUEUE	Que	Stop	Time	Dist.	Speed

					[Ped	Dist ]		Rate			
		ped/h	sec		ped	m			sec	m	m/sec
Site:	101 [Alton / F	reeman	Existing	y + Developi	ment PM -	Copy]					
East:	Freeman Dri	ve (E)									
P2	Full	80	31.8	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.92	0.92	60.5	37.2	0.62
North	n: Alton Road	(N)									
P3	Full	80	6.8	LOS A	0.1	0.1	0.43	0.43	31.4	31.9	1.02
West	:: Freeman Dr	ive (W)									
P4	Full	80	31.8	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.92	0.92	60.5	37.2	0.62
All Pe	edestrians	240	23.5	LOS C	0.2	0.2	0.76	0.76	50.8	35.4	0.70
Site:	101 [Central /	/ Freema	an Existi	ng + Develo	opment PM	- Copy]					
South	h: Central										
P1	Full	80	6.8	LOS A	0.1	0.1	0.43	0.43	31.4	31.9	1.02
East:	Freeman Dri	ve (E)									
P2	Full	80	31.8	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.92	0.92	60.5	37.2	0.62
All Pe	edestrians	160	19.3	LOS B	0.2	0.2	0.68	0.68	45.9	34.6	0.75

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: MCLAREN TRAFFIC ENGINEERING | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Processed: Friday, 18 February 2022 11:00:20 AM Project: \\192.168.1.107\mte storage\Jobs\2021\211056\MTE SIDRA\22 02 03 PM12.23 - Revis in Sidra 9\22 02 18 Final Yield no Filters + Deaves.sip9

#### Site: 101 [Patrick Drive / Freeman Drive Existing + Development PM - Copy (Site Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Freeman Drive / Patrick Drive AM Peak Period 100% Development Scale + Existing Site Category: (None) Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Coordinated Minimum Delay) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e									
Mov ID	Turn	DEM/ FLO [ Total veh/h	AND WS HV] %	ARRI FLO [ Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% E Ql [ Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist ] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Freem	an Drive	(E)											
5 6	T1 R2	536 108	0.0 0.0	536 108	0.0 0.0	0.397 <b>*</b> 0.726	5.2 46.4	LOS A LOS D	8.2 4.3	57.6 30.2	0.45 1.00	0.41 0.86	0.45 1.23	52.0 34.1
Appro	bach	644	0.0	644	0.0	0.726	12.1	LOS A	8.2	57.6	0.55	0.48	0.58	45.5
North	: Patric	k Drive (I	N)											
7 9	L2 R2	11 142	0.0 0.0	11 142	0.0 0.0	0.018 <b>*</b> 0.521	24.9 38.9	LOS B LOS C	0.3 5.0	1.9 35.3	0.72 0.97	0.66 0.79	0.72 0.97	33.2 26.5
Appro	bach	152	0.0	152	0.0	0.521	37.9	LOS C	5.0	35.3	0.95	0.78	0.95	26.9
West	: Freem	nan Drive	(W)											
10 11	L2 T1	470 589	0.0 0.0	470 589	0.0 0.0	0.513 <b>*</b> 0.566	15.2 5.4	LOS B LOS A	8.2 7.9	57.5 55.1	0.51 0.39	0.73 0.35	0.51 0.39	46.4 50.2
Appro	bach	1059	0.0	1059	0.0	0.566	9.8	LOS A	8.2	57.5	0.45	0.52	0.45	47.8
All Ve	hicles	1855	0.0	1855	0.0	0.726	12.9	LOS A	8.2	57.6	0.52	0.53	0.54	44.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

\* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Pedestrian	Movement	Perforr	nance							
Mov Crossing	Dem.	Aver.	Level of	AVERAGE	BACK OF	Prop. E	ffective	Travel	Travel	Aver.
	FIOW	Delay	Service	QUI [Ped	EUE Dist ]	Que	Stop Rate	Time	Dist.	Speed
	ped/h	sec		ped	m			sec	m	m/sec
East: Freema	n Drive (E)									
P2 Full	80	31.8	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.92	0.92	57.9	33.9	0.59
North: Patrick	Drive (N)									
P3 Full	80	12.9	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.59	0.59	39.0	33.9	0.87
West: Freema	an Drive (W)	)								
P4 Full	80	31.8	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.92	0.92	57.9	33.9	0.59
All Pedestrian	is 240	25.5	LOS C	0.2	0.2	0.81	0.81	51.6	33.9	0.66

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

#### V Site: 101 [Avondale South / Freeman Drive Existing + Development PM - Copy (Site Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Avondale South / Freeman Drive PM Peak Period Existing + Growth + Development Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehic	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [ Total veh/h	ND VS HV] %	ARRI FLO [ Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% E Ql [ Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	EffectiveA Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	: Avon	dale Sout	h											
1	L2	23	0.0	23	0.0	0.027	8.1	LOS A	0.1	0.7	0.52	0.69	0.52	47.5
3	R2	8	0.0	8	0.0	0.050	26.1	LOS B	0.2	1.1	0.87	0.95	0.87	32.4
Appro	ach	32	0.0	32	0.0	0.050	12.9	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.61	0.76	0.61	42.3
East:	Freema	ans Drive	(E)											
4	L2	14	0.0	14	0.0	0.325	2.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	56.7
5	T1	619	0.0	619	0.0	0.325	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	58.1
Appro	ach	632	0.0	632	0.0	0.325	0.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	57.8
West:	Freem	ans Drive	e (W)											
11	T1	702	0.0	702	0.0	0.329	0.4	LOS A	0.6	4.2	0.09	0.03	0.11	58.6
12	R2	32	0.0	32	0.0	0.329	9.8	LOS A	0.6	4.2	0.11	0.03	0.13	57.3
Appro	ach	733	0.0	733	0.0	0.329	0.8	NA	0.6	4.2	0.09	0.03	0.11	58.5
All Ve	hicles	1397	0.0	1397	0.0	0.329	0.7	NA	0.6	4.2	0.06	0.04	0.07	57.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: 101 [Avondale North Existing + Development PM - Copy (Site Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Avondale North / Freeman Drive PM Peak Period Existing + Development + 15 Year Growth Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehio	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [ Total veh/h	.ND VS HV] %	ARRI FLO [ Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% [ Ql [ Veh. veh	BACK OF UEUE Dist ] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Freema	an (East)												
5	T1	419	0.0	419	0.0	0.217	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
6	R2	187	0.0	187	0.0	0.217	7.1	LOS A	1.0	6.9	0.63	0.81	0.63	47.1
Appro	bach	606	0.0	606	0.0	0.217	2.2	NA	1.0	6.9	0.19	0.25	0.19	49.0
North: Avondale North														
7	L2	124	0.0	124	0.0	0.098	6.6	LOS A	0.4	3.0	0.37	0.60	0.37	48.9
9	R2	174	0.0	174	0.0	0.598	25.8	LOS B	3.1	21.7	0.89	1.11	1.48	32.6
Appro	bach	299	0.0	299	0.0	0.598	17.8	LOS B	3.1	21.7	0.67	0.90	1.02	37.9
West: Freeman (West)														
10	L2	409	0.0	409	0.0	0.373	2.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.31	0.00	54.3
11	T1	298	0.0	298	0.0	0.373	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.31	0.00	34.3
Appro	bach	707	0.0	707	0.0	0.373	1.6	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.31	0.00	52.9
All Ve	hicles	1612	0.0	1612	0.0	0.598	4.8	NA	3.1	21.7	0.20	0.40	0.26	46.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: 101 [Newport Road / Freemans Drive PM - Copy (Site Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Newport Road / Freemans Drive AM Peak Period 15 Year Growth PM Peak Period Existing + 15 Year Growth + Development Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehic	le Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [ Total veh/h	ND VS HV] %	ARRI FLO [ Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95%   Q [ Veh. veh	BACK OF UEUE Dist ] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>F</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	: Newp	ort Road												
1	L2	298	0.0	298	0.0	0.298	7.2	LOS A	1.3	8.9	0.43	0.67	0.43	48.6
3	R2	90	0.0	90	0.0	0.211	13.0	LOS A	0.8	5.6	0.67	0.87	0.68	42.2
Appro	ach	388	0.0	388	0.0	0.298	8.5	LOS A	1.3	8.9	0.48	0.72	0.49	46.9
East: Freemans Drive (E)														
4	L2	50	0.0	50	0.0	0.027	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	54.4
5	T1	291	0.0	291	0.0	0.149	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
Appro	ach	341	0.0	341	0.0	0.149	0.9	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.08	0.00	58.5
West: Freemans Drive (W)														
11	T1	171	0.0	171	0.0	0.088	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.0
12	R2	212	0.0	212	0.0	0.208	5.2	LOS A	0.9	6.3	0.45	0.66	0.45	49.3
Appro	ach	383	0.0	383	0.0	0.208	2.9	NA	0.9	6.3	0.25	0.36	0.25	50.0
All Ve	hicles	1113	0.0	1113	0.0	0.298	4.2	NA	1.3	8.9	0.25	0.40	0.26	52.1

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

## V Site: 101v [Freemans Drive/New Access (VPA 17) PM - Copy (Site Folder: Alton Layout Testing)]

Freeman Drive / Patrick Drive AM Peak Period 100% Development Scale + Existing Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis: Constant Number of Years = 26

Vehio	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [ Total veh/h	ND VS HV] %	ARRI FLO [ Total veh/h	VAL WS HV]	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% I QI [ Veh. veh	BACK OF UEUE Dist ] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Freema	an Drive (	(E)											
5	T1	316	0.0	316	0.0	0.163	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
6	R2	349	0.0	349	0.0	0.326	7.1	LOS A	1.6	11.0	0.46	0.68	0.46	51.9
Appro	bach	665	0.0	665	0.0	0.326	3.8	NA	1.6	11.0	0.24	0.36	0.24	54.2
North: New Access (N)														
7	L2	91	0.0	91	0.0	0.324	7.3	LOS A	1.4	10.1	0.50	0.74	0.60	48.6
9	R2	73	0.0	73	0.0	0.324	19.1	LOS B	1.4	10.1	0.50	0.74	0.60	42.7
Appro	bach	164	0.0	164	0.0	0.324	12.5	LOS A	1.4	10.1	0.50	0.74	0.60	46.7
West: Freeman Drive (W)														
10	L2	102	0.0	102	0.0	0.055	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	54.4
11	T1	199	0.0	199	0.0	0.102	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.0
Appro	bach	301	0.0	301	0.0	0.102	1.9	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.19	0.00	57.9
All Ve	hicles	1129	0.0	1129	0.0	0.326	4.5	NA	1.6	11.0	0.22	0.37	0.23	54.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com